UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,926	01/16/2002	Jeffrey R. Sampson	2003309-0027 (Agilent 10 1042	
AGILENT TEC	7590 04/03/200 CHNOLOGIES INC.		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT.			MUMMERT, STEPHANIE KANE	
	MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599 LOVELAND, CO 80537		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/052,926	SAMPSON, JEF	FREY R.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	STEPHANIE K. MUMMERT	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on, but it does need to the proposed reply was received on 	ailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on), which is after the 	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:	5).		
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ui	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
Confirmed with Applicant's representative that no fu	rther response was filed in this ca	ase.	
/GARY BENZION/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1637	/Stephanie K. Mummert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637		

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment
Part of Paper No. 20090323